



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,466	10/26/2001	Tae-Sung Jung	678-765 (P9938)	4005	
28249	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD.			LE, DANH C		
UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2683	2683	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/10/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/035,466	JUNG, TAE-SUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL DIO DATE - CALL	DANH C LE	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (corresponaence adaress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet of No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 C	October 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	own from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/035,466

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Khalil (US 6,578,085).

As to claim 6, Khalil teaches a handover method for a mobile node (figure 4, 5) having a mobile IP address in a source foreign agent (FA) of a mobile communication system, comprising the steps of:

transmitting a Binding Warning message (7) to a home agent (16) if the MN moves to the target FA (18) with a data transission/reception path established in the area of the source FA (28); and

transmitting a Binding Acknowledge message (4) to the target FA including an IP tunneling status value upon receipt of a Binding Update message from the target FA, and releasing an established IP tunnel between the source FA and a core network (9).

As to claim 8, Khalil teaches a handover method for an MN having a mobile IP address in a target FA of a mobile communication system (figure 4, 5), comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/035,466

Art Unit: 2683

transmitting a Binding Update message (3) to a source FA upon receipt of a Registration Request message from the MN requiring a handover (2);

transmitting the Registration Request message (7) to an HA for the MN upon receipt of a Binding Acknowledge message from the source FA; and

establishing a radio channel between the MN and the target FA and exchanging data on a radio channel.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-5 are allowable as stated in the Applicant's response on pages 2, 3.

Claims 7 and 9 are objected in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- A. McKenna et al (US 6594,498) teaches communiqué system for cellular communication networks.
 - B. Chang et al (US 6,487,406) teaches PCS to mobile IP internetworking.
- C. Leung et al (US 6,466,964) teaches method and apparatus for providing mobility of a node that does not support mobility.

DANH CONG LE PATENT EXAMINER